

Overview

Characterisation of healthy gingival crevicular fluid proteome by FT-ICR MS/MS Andrew J. Creese¹, Melissa M. Grant¹, Jain L C. Chapple¹, Helen J. Cooper²

Periodontal research group, School of Dentistry, University of Birmingham, St Chads Queensway, Birmingham, B4 6NN, UK.
 School of Biosciences, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK.



MS scan

 Gingivial crevicular fluid (GCF) is a complex mixture of serum and tissue transudate, saliva and bacterial proteins. It is a potentially rich source of protein biomarkers.

Using bottom-up proteomics, it is possible to identify thousands of peptides from hundreds of proteins in short periods of time.
Here we ask: How many proteins can be confidently identify

using 2D bottom-up proteomics?

Introduction

• GCF is a complex media with a large dynamic range. It is a rich source of serum proteins and collection is non-invasive. However the proteome is currently poorly defined.

 Tandem mass spectrometry is one of the most powerful tools for analysing complex protein mixtures. High mass accuracy, fast acquisition speed and a large dynamic range allow hundreds of peptides to be identified in less than an hour.

• We show online data-dependent liquid chromatography (LC) tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) analysis of GCF performed on a Thermo Fisher Scientific LTQ-FT mass spectrometer.

• The results demonstrate that prefractionating GCF samples using strong cation exchange chromatography prior to LC-MS/MS analysis can increase protein identification 6 fold.

Method

• GCF was collect from 12 healthy sites onto strips of Periopaper[™]. The twelve strips were split into two groups, placed in screw top tubes and stored in 100 mM ammonium bicarbonate (200 µL).

Both samples were vortex and the solution extracted.

The proteins in both samples were reduced and alkylated prior to

overnight digestion with trypsin.

The peptides from one sample were fractionated by strong cation

exchange (SCX) liquid chromatography. • SCX was performed with a Polysulfoethyl A column (PolyLC). Samples were eluted over a 60 minute salt gradient from 0-50% of

Samples were eluted over a 60 minute salt gradient from 0-50% of 500 mM KCl (pH 3). 16 fractions were collected. • The SCX fractions and the unfractionated sample were each

loaded onto a 75 µm C18 reversed phase analytical column (LC Packings). Peptides were separated over a 30 minute gradient from 0 to 40% acetonitrile (0.1% formic acid).

• Samples were infused by use of an Advion Triversa Nanomate nanospray ionization source into a Thermo Fisher Scientific LTQ-FT hybrid mass spectrometer.

 The mass spectrometer performed an initial survey scan and the three most intense multiply charged ions were selected for collision induced dissociation (CID) tandem mass spectrometry.

Analysed peptides were placed on an exclusion list for 180 seconds to avoid repeat analysis.

 Data were collected using Xcalibur 2.1 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and analysed with the MASCOT search algorithm against the NCBI protein database.

Conclusion and Future Work

• We have demonstrated that fractionation by strong cation exchange chromatography prior to tandem mass spectrometry improves the coverage of the GCF proteome by 6 times.

• The number of proteins identified is greater than any previously reported in the literature.

 SCX fractionation improved sample "clean up" prior to MS/MS analysis, increasing the ratio of CID events to identifed peptides.
 Further work in this area will include looking deeper into the GCF

proteome. By pooling more GCF samples and analysing by 2D-LC-MS/MS the number of proteins identified should increase.



Figure 1: Proteins are digested with the endoprotease trypsin to produce short peptides (\approx 5-15 amino acids long).



(CID) of a peptide. The N-C_o bond is cleaved resulting in b and y ions.



Proteomic analysis of 6 GCF strips		
Number of CID events	1402	
Number of peptides identified	256	
Number of proteins identified	34	
Number of peptides per protein	7.5	
Number of peptides per protein	7.5	

Table 1: The number of CID events, proteins and peptides identified from the analysis of 6 GCF strips using LC-MS/MS.



Figure 3: The total ion chromatogram (top left) for the unfractionated analysis of 6 GCF strips. A high resolution full MS scan (top right) from which the ion m/z 439.78 was selected for fragmentation. The CID mass spectrum of the peptide AVLHVALR (m/z 438.78, bottom right) from glucose-6-phosphate isomerase. All of the N-C₀ bonds were cleaved.



Figure 4: The strong cation exchange chromatogram (top) for the digested GCF sample (UV 214 nm). 16 fractions were collected. The 8 ion chromatograms shown represent fractions 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13 and 15 (all chromatograms were collected over 60 minutes).

Proteomic analysis of 6 GCF strips fractionated by SCX		
Number of CID events	3652	
Number of peptides identified	2129	
Number of proteins identified	201	
Number of peptides per protein	10.6	
Protein identification fold change	5.9	

Table 2: The number of CID events, proteins and peptides identified from the combined analysis of 6 GCF strips fractionated by strong cation exchange chromatography prior to mass spectrometry. The fold change is also shown.

A group of proteins only identified in the SCX fractions		
Annexin 1	Defensin α1	
Cystatin	Tropomyosin	
Heat shock protein 70 kDa	Gelsolin	
S100 calcium binding protein A9	small proline-rich protein 1	
Kallikrein	Matrix metalloproteinase 9	

 Table 3: Some of the additional proteins identified using strong cation

 exchange chromatography to fractionate the GCF sample.